## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Session 1 Challenges in implementation of ADR system in Subordinate Courts			
1.	Justice S.B. Sinha, former Judge, Supreme Court of India, "ADR: Mechanism and			
2.	effective implementation"; available at: mediationbhc.gov.in (last visited on 30.07.2017). Justice S.B. Sinha, former Judge, Supreme Court of India, "ADR and Access to Justice:	01		
	Issues and Perspectives", ; available at: www.tnsja.tn.nic.in/article/ADR (last visited on 30.07.2017)	11		
3.	Michal Alberstein "Judicial Conflict Resolution (JCR): A New Jurisprudence for an	11		
	Emerging judicial practice", Cardozo J. of Conflict Resolution [vol. 16:879] 2015	31		
4.	Leonard L. Riskin, <i>Understanding Mediators' Orientations, Strategies, and Techniques:</i> A Grid for the Perplexed, 1 Harv. Negot. L. Rev. 7, 52 (1996)	61		
5.	Brian A. Pappas, "Med-Arb and the Legalization of Alternative Dispute Resolution", 20	O1		
_	Harv. Negot. L. Rev. 157, (2015)	107		
6.	Case Law:  ✓ Salem Advocate Bar Association, Tamil Nadu v. Union of India; 2005 (6) SCC			
	344	155		
	✓ Afcon Infrastructure Ltd. And Anr. v. Cherian Varkey Construction Co. (P) Ltd.	1.67		
	And Ors. 2010 (8) SCC 24  ✓ K. Srinivas Rao v. D.A. Deepa; (2013) 5 SCC 226	167 177		
	Session 2 Court & Case Management : Role of Judges			
1.	Hon'ble Mr. Justice P. Sathasivam, Judge, Supreme Court of India, "Effective District Administration and Court Management" on 15.06.2013 at Tamil Nadu State Judicial Academy during the Special Training Programme for all District Judges and Chief			
	Judicial Magistrates	185		
2.	Justice Roshan Dalvi, former Judge High Court of Bombay, "The Business of Court Management", 7 The Law Review, Government Law College, 25 (2007)	199		
3.	Best Practices Institute, Successful Case Flow Management Techniques, available at:	1//		
	http://napco4courtleaders.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/caseflow-management-	221		
4.	techniques-best-practices-institute (last visited on 15.07.2017)	231		
	Management Methods", from the Book "Caseflow Management", Pages 2-22 National			
_	Center for State Courts, US, 2004.	235		
5.	Thomas M. Clarke, Victor E. Flango, <i>Case Triage for the 21st Century</i> , available at: https://ncsc.contentdm.oclc.org/digital/collection/ctadmin/id/18	257		
6.	Case Law:			
<b>J.</b>	✓ Surjit Singh v. Gurwant Kaur; (2015) 1 SCC 665	263		
	✓ Rameshwari Devi v. Nirmala Devi; 6(2011) 8 SCC 249	269		

C	Session 3 ivil Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction of Dis Judges	stric
1.	Case Law:  ✓ Union of India v. K. V. Lakshman; AIR 2016 SC 3139  ✓ Shasidhar v. Ashwini Uma Mathad; AIR 2015 SC 1139  ✓ Vinod Kumar v. Gangadhar; (2015) 1 SCC 391  ✓ Kuldeep Kumar Dubey v. Ramesh Chandra Goyal; (2015) 12 SCC 525  ✓ State Bank of India v. Emmsons International Limited; (2011) 12 SCC 174  ✓ Banarasi v. Ram Phal; (2003) 9 SCC 606  ✓ P. Kiran Kumar v. A.S. Khadar; (2002) 5 SCC 161	279 282 284 286 288 290 296
	Session 4  Laws relating to Cybercrimes: Advances and Problem Areas	
1. 2. 3. 4. 5 6.	Ronald C. Griffin, "Cybercrime", Journal of International Commercial Law and Technology Vol. 7, Issue 2 (2012)	299 317 337 379 389 417 421 427 430 434
	Session 5 Electronic Evidence : Collection, Preservation and Appreciation	
1.	Justice Kurian Joseph, Judge, Supreme Court of India, "Admissibility of Electronic	
2.	Evidence", (2016) 5 SCC (J)	439
3.	Finance Commission Grant at Tamil Nadu State Judicial Academy on 26.03.2011  Lyn M. Gaudet, Research Director, "Brain fingerprinting, Scientific Evidence, and daubert: A cautionary lesson from India", Center for Law, Science & Innovation, Sandra Day O'Connor College of Law, Arizona State University Development in Science and	447
4.	Technology Law, (Spring 2011)  Giuliano Giova, "Improving Chain of Custody in Forensic Investigation of Electronic Digital Systems", IJCSNS International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security VOL 11 No. 1 January 2011	465
	Security VUL. 11 No. 1. January 2011	491

5.	Case Law:  ✓ Tomaso Bruno v. State of Uttar Pradesh; 2015(1) SCALE 498  ✓ Anvar P.V.v.P.K. Basheer 2014(10) SCALE 660  ✓ Gajraj v. State (NCT) of Delhi; 2011(10) SCALE 695  ✓ Dharambir v. Central Bureau of Investigation; 148 (2008) DLT 289	507 514
	Session 6 Criminal Justice Administration: Appellate and Revision Jurisdiction District Judges	of
1. 2.	Martin Shapriro, "Appeal", Law & Society Review, Vol. 14, No. 3, Contemporary Issues in Law and Social Science (Spring, 1980), pp. 629-66	535 569 573 578 582
	Session 7 Fair Sessions Trials	
1.	Neeraj Tiwari, "Fair trial vis-à-vis criminal justice administration: A critical study of Indian criminal Justice System", Journal of Law and Conflict Resolution Vol. 2(4), pp. 66-73, April 2010	593 601 610 613 615 625 629 633 645 649 659 662 665 672 674 675

## Session 8 Sentencing: Issues and Challenges

1.	Julian V. Roberts; Umar Azmeh; Kartikeya Tripathi, Structured Sentencing in England	
	and Wales: Recent Developments and Lessons for India, 23 Nat'l L. Sch. India Rev. 27,	
	46 (2011)	677
2.	T.S. Palys, "Explaining Sentence Disparity", 28 Canadian J. Criminology 347 1986	697
<b>3.</b>	Judge Irving R. Kaufman, "Sentencing the Judge's Problem", The Atlantic Monthly;	
	January, 1960. Available at:	
	https://www.theatlantic.com/past/docs/unbound/flashbks/death/kaufman.htm	713
4.	Justice J.S. Verma, "Sentencing and Punishment" in Report of the Committee on	
	Amendments to Criminal Law, Chapter 9, Jan 2013; available at: http://apneaap.org/wp-	
	content/uploads/2012/10/Justice-Verma-Committee-Report.pdf	723
<b>5.</b>	Latest view of sentencing policy with reference to the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme	
	Court & High Court, Available at: http://mja.gov.in: 22.3.2015	749
6.	Case Law:	
	✓ Vasanta Sampat Dupare v. State of Maharashtra; 2017(5) SCALE 724	777
	✓ (1) Mukesh and another; (2) Vinay Sharma and another v. State for (NCT of	
	<i>Delhi</i> ) 2017(5) SCALE 506	786
	✓ Vikas Yadav v. State of U. P.; AIR 2016 SC 4614	796
	✓ State of Himachal Pradesh v. Nirmala Devi; 2017 Indlaw SC 473	811
	✓ Ravada Sasikala v. State of Andhra Pradesh; AIR 2017 SC 1166	814

Note: The Cases in the Reference Material has been abridged and limited by editing in order to highlight some pertinent points for discussion. Please read the full judgment for conclusive opinion.